

2015 Annual Report for the Agriculture
Demonstration of Practices and Technologies
(ADOPT) Program



Project Title: Sclerotinia Levels in Canola as Affected by Biofungicide, Fungicide, and Preceding Crop

Project Number: 20140297

Producer Group Sponsoring the Project: Western Applied Research Corporation (WARC)

Project Location(s): Scott Research Farm, Saskatchewan (RM 380, NE 17-39-21 W3).

Box 89
Scott, SK
S0K 40A

Project start and end dates (month & year): The project was initiated October 2013 and completed December 2015.

Project contact person & contact details:

Jessica Weber (General Manager)
Phone: (306) 247-2001
Email: jessica.weber@warc.ca

Objectives and Rationale

Project objectives:

The objective of this product is to identify the most effective application method and timing of Contans WG™ (UAP) biofungicide.

Project Rationale:

Sclerotinia (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*) is a pathogen found in all growing regions of Canada that causes stem rot in canola. The disease is also well known as white-mold in crops such as soybeans, sunflowers, potatoes, lentils and many other crops. The presences of the disease in producer fields are variable from year to year. Environmental conditions and the frequency in which susceptible crops are planted are the main factors influencing sclerotinia incidence and severity.

In the past, the primary focus for control of the disease aside from crop rotation has been synthetic foliar fungicide (products such as Proline, Lance, Astound, etc.). These products have been shown to be effective for mitigating the severity of the disease in crop, but lack the ability to control the incidence of the disease fully. As well, foliar fungicides do not possess any residual properties needed to control sclerotinia in the following season.

Contans WG™ (*coniothyrium minitans*) biofungicide has been launched in the market place by UAP. This product differs from traditional synthetic foliar fungicides as it is a naturally occurring fungus found in the soil which is a predator of sclerotia bodies. This fungus hinders the germination of the sclerotia which form apothecia (mushroom-fruited bodies) that ultimately spread spores that land on the petals of canola plants and cause sclerotinia stem rot. Once this fungus has been introduced to the soil it has the ability to control the sclerotia bodies for subsequent years if the product is applied on an annual basis. A higher population of *coniothyrium minitans* will hopefully aid in a higher level of control of the sclerotinia stem rot, making control of the disease a more integrated approach compared to relying on foliar fungicides alone.

Methodology and Results

Methodology:

This demonstration was at the AAFC Scott Research Farm; it was initiated October 2013 and will be completed December 2015. The design of the experiment was a complete factorial design (2x3) with three replicates. The main plots consisted of crop type and sub-plots consisted of the Contans WG™ application timing, and method of incorporation into the soil. The fall treatments of Contans were applied October 17, 2013 and incorporated the same day using a Salford vertical tillage implement (5 cm depth).

The spring application of Contans was applied May 13, 2014 and May 8th, 2015 incorporated using the same implement as in the fall. The 2014 fall application of Contans was not applied, due to unavailability of the product. At both fall (2013) and spring timing (2014; 2015) the Contans was applied at a 4 kg/ha rate. The foliar fungicide treatments were applied on July 14, 2014 and July 10, 2015 at a rate of 700 ml/ac of Vertisan on sunflower, 600ml/ac of Vertisan on canola, and 350ml/ac of Acapela on soybeans. Granular fertilizer was applied at seeding according to soil test recommendations for each crop and inoculant was applied on soybean. Weeds were controlled using a pre-seed burn-offs and registered in-crop herbicides (See Appendix, Table 1 for complete details of field maintenance activities).

Table 1: Demonstration treatment list

| Treatment | Timing and Incorporation Method | Crop |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| 1 | Fall/Mechanical Tillage | Canola |
| 2 | Fall/ No Tillage | Canola |
| 3 | Spring/ Mechanical Tillage | Canola |
| 4 | Spring/ No Tillage | Canola |
| 5 | Untreated Control | Canola |
| 6 | Foliar Fungicide | Canola |
| 7 | Fall/Mechanical Tillage | Sunflower |
| 8 | Fall/ No Tillage | Sunflower |
| 9 | Spring/ Mechanical Tillage | Sunflower |
| 10 | Spring/ No Tillage | Sunflower |
| 11 | Untreated Control | Sunflower |
| 12 | Foliar Fungicide | Sunflower |
| 13 | Fall/Mechanical Tillage | Soybeans |
| 14 | Fall/ No Tillage | Soybeans |
| 15 | Spring/ Mechanical Tillage | Soybeans |
| 16 | Spring/ No Tillage | Soybeans |
| 17 | Untreated Control | Soybeans |
| 18 | Foliar Fungicide | Soybeans |

Late summer disease ratings of sclerotinia incidence and severity were measured on August 7 and 20 (2014) using the Kutcher/ Wolf rating scale (Appendix A.2.). Disease ratings were calculated by assessing ten random plants throughout each plot then averaging the ratings in similar plots to develop our level of infection. Grain yields were also measured to determine if the Contans treatments provided increased protection against sclerotinia compared to the check treatment which had no foliar fungicide or Contans applied to it. The canola and soybeans were straight-combined using a Wintersteiger plot combine. The sunflowers were harvested by collecting 10 heads from each plot then drying them to the correct moisture, and then stationary threshed using the Wintersteiger combine. The soybeans and sunflowers received a killing frost on September 12, 2014. An analysis of variance was conducted on all variables using the Mixed Procedure in SAS 9.3. Weather data was estimated from the nearest Environment Canada weather station (Table 2).

In the following season, the sclerotinia depots were installed within treatment 5 on June 4, 2015 and were monitored weekly to check for apothecia germination and sclerotinia severity within the plots. However, a lack of moisture during the growing season inhibited the development of the apothecia germination and disease development. Disease ratings based on the Kutcher/ Wolf rating scale occurred

on June 18, June 26, June 30, July 9, and July 21 (2015). As well, plots were checked for sclerotia stem rot weekly; however, zero disease was detected.

Harvest data was not collected as this trial was terminated on July 27, 2015. Termination of this trial was based on several factors including (1) minimal sunflower germination, (2) high frequency of volunteer canola, (3) unfavourable growing conditions including a severe drought at the beginning of the growing season, (4) a late frost in May that reduced the crop stand, (5) a general lack of disease development and (6) the underlying fact that the fall application of Contans was not applied in 2014. These compounding factors resulted in the decision to terminate the project, as data collection from this growing season would not accurately represent the true effects of this trial.

Results

Growing season weather conditions

In 2014, Scott saw slightly lower than average temperatures in May and June, but summer and fall were at or slightly above average temperatures. Scott received 123% of normal precipitation, most of which fell in July. The first fall frost at Scott occurred September 12, damaging soybean and sunflower plots and ceasing seed development at that time. In 2015, Scott had an average temperature comparable to the long term, but differed significantly in terms of overall precipitation with a reduction of 77% in moisture during the growing season (Table 3). On May 8, 2015 a damaging frost of -7.9°C frost occurred.

Table 2. Mean monthly temperature, precipitation and growing degree day accumulated from May to August 2014 and 2015 at Scott, SK

| Year | May | June | July | August | Avg./Total |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|
| ----- <i>Mean Temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$)</i> ----- | | | | | |
| 2014 | 9.3 | 13.9 | 17.4 | 16.8 | 14.4 |
| 2015 | 9.3 | 16.1 | 18.1 | 16.8 | 14.26 |
| Long-Term | <i>10.8</i> | <i>15.3</i> | <i>17.1</i> | <i>16.5</i> | <i>14.9</i> |
| ----- <i>Total Precipitation (mm)</i> ----- | | | | | |
| 2014 | 23.1 | 60.4 | 128 | 30.1 | 241.6 |
| 2015 | 4.1 | 19.4 | 46.4 | 74.5 | 224.5 |
| Long-Term | <i>36.3</i> | <i>61.8</i> | <i>72.1</i> | <i>45.7</i> | <i>215.9</i> |
| ----- <i>Growing Degree Days (GDD)</i> ----- | | | | | |
| 2015 | 140.3 | 332 | 405.1 | 365.8 | 1243.2 |
| Long-Term | <i>178.3</i> | <i>307.5</i> | <i>375.1</i> | <i>356.5</i> | <i>1217.4</i> |

Plant Disease Ratings

In 2014, plant disease ratings were conducted on August 7 and 20. Soybean and sunflower diseases ratings differed from that of the canola. All three crops had a comparable level of sclerotinia incidence at the first disease rating date; however, canola had a slightly higher rating compared to soybeans and sunflowers at the second rating date. Overall, the disease ratings for each treatment were not statically different from one another. Neither the fall nor the spring applications showed any effect on the disease ratings on all three crops compared to the control. As well, the mechanical incorporation of the

Contans after application did not seem to affect the products efficacy on controlling sclerotinia when compared to the control treatment. The foliar fungicide treatments also did not provide any statistically different results from that of the Contans treatments or the control.

In the 2015 growing season, disease ratings were conducted on June 18, June 26, June 30, July 9 and July 21. The ratings indicated negligible severity and incidence of disease in all three crops, regardless of Contans application or mechanical incorporation. Statistical analysis was not conducted, as visual ratings noted zero change between rating dates and crops.

Grain Yield

In the 2014 season, grain yields were significantly affected by crop type ($P < .0001$), but the sclerotinia control effect ($P = 0.6823$) and sclerotinia control by crop interaction ($P = 0.3427$) were not significant. Although sclerotinia levels on the Scott Research Farm as well as surrounding areas reported high levels of sclerotinia infection in their canola crops in 2014, we failed to observe the same level of incidence within our plots. A possible explanation for the lowered amounts of sclerotinia in our trial was due to the excellent stand-ability of our canola crop.

Conditions that are conducive of heavy sclerotinia infection typically occur when a canola crop is lodged or has an excessively thick canopy. The timing of sclerotinia infection at a given crop stage can also play a role in the severity of the infection. Typically an earlier onset of the disease at the beginning of flowering will have more detrimental effects on yield than compared to a late infection that may only affect the branches of the plant instead of the base. Although conditions were ideal in 2014 in producer fields, we did not observe the same degree of infection in any of our three susceptible crops. The plants that we found to be infected with sclerotinia were infected late in the season and did not lodge.

Although soybeans and sunflowers are susceptible to sclerotinia stem rot, canola has a higher chance of being infected with the disease. Also, our plant densities of soybeans and sunflowers were low so this may have helped with aeration within the canopy, in turn, not producing an ideal microclimate for apothecia growth.

In 2015 growing season, grain yield was not collected for any of the three crops due to the previously mentioned factors. Therefore, the 2014 data collection was the only data analyzed and presented.

Table 3. Least squares means for main effects of sclerotinia control method and crop type on disease ratings and grain yield at Scott, SK in 2014. Main effect means followed by the same letter do not significantly differ (Fisher's protected LSD test; $P \leq 0.05$)

| Main Effect | Disease Ratings 1 | Disease Ratings 2 | Yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 7-Aug | 20-Aug | |
| <i>Crop</i> | | | |
| Canola | 0.2833 ^a | 0.6278 ^a | 2242.64 ^a |
| Soybean | 0.03889 ^b | -2.15 E-17 ^b | 982.21 ^b |
| Sunflower | 0.03889 ^b | 0.03333 ^b | 1047.73 ^b |
| <i>Timing and application</i> | | | |
| Untreated Check | 0.08889 | 0.2222 | 1324 |
| Fall/ Mechanical Tillage | 0.05556 | 0.2333 | 1431 |
| Fall/ No Tillage | 0.1556 | 0.2556 | 1514 |
| Foliar Fungicide | 0.1222 | 0.1444 | 1459 |
| Spring/ Mechanical Tillage | 0.1 | 0.08889 | 1350 |
| Spring/ No Tillage | 0.2 | 0.3778 | 1467 |
| <i>Crop x Timing and Application</i> | | | |
| Canola Untreated Check | 0.2667 | 0.6667 | 2049 |
| Canola Fall/ Mechanical | 0.1 | 0.5333 | 2313 |
| Canola Fall/ No Tillage | 0.3667 | 0.7333 | 2242 |
| Canola Foliar Fungicide | 0.3667 | 0.4333 | 2484 |
| Canola Spring/ Mechanical | 0.2333 | 0.2667 | 2127 |
| Canola Spring/ No Tillage | 0.3667 | 1.1333 | 2240 |
| Soybean Untreated Check | -3.05E-16 | -5.82E-17 | 929 |
| Soybean Fall/ Mechanical | 0.06667 | -3.18E-17 | 1118 |
| Soybean Fall/ No Tillage | 0.1 | -5.27E-17 | 905 |
| Soybean Foliar Fungicide | -2.50E-16 | -1.27E-17 | 966 |
| Soybean Spring/ Mechanical | 0.06667 | -5.26E-17 | 977 |
| Soybean Spring/ No Tillage | -1.94E-16 | 7.92E-17 | 999 |
| Sunflower Untreated Check | -2.50E-16 | 1.49E-17 | 995 |
| Sunflower Fall/ Mechanical | -2.78E-16 | 1.67E-01 | 863 |
| Sunflower Fall/ No Tillage | -3.33E-16 | 3.33E-02 | 1394 |
| Sunflower Foliar Fungicide | -3.05E-16 | -2.10E-17 | 925 |
| Sunflower Spring/ Mechanical | -2.78E-16 | -7.86E-17 | 948 |
| Sunflower Spring/ No Tillage | 2.33E-01 | -3.56E-16 | 1161 |

Conclusions and Recommendations

Fall (2013) and (2014) spring applied Contans WGTM with low levels of sclerotinia infection did not affect plant disease ratings or yield of the crop when compared to a control treatment or a synthetic foliar fungicide. In a conducive environmental condition, the application of Contans and foliar fungicides may provide greater control of sclerotia germination and reduce the spread of spores. Also, in circumstances where the crop canopy has lodged due to excess amounts of nitrogen or hail damage, the fungicides may hinder the spread of sclerotinia. Multiple years of Contans applications has been shown to increase the performance of the product in controlling sclerotia germination.

Supporting Information

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Ministry of Agriculture for the funding support on this project. We would like to acknowledge Tristan Coelho, Herb Schell, Mike Sittler (2014) and Herb Schell (2015) and our summer staff for their technical assistance with project development and implementation. The demonstration was featured at the 2014 Scott Field Day. This report will be distributed through WARC's website and included in WARC's annual report.

Appendices

Appendix A - Agronomic information specific to 2014 and 2015 demonstration

Appendix B – Kutcher and Wolf (2006) rating scale for sclerotinia stem rot

Abstract

Abstract/Summary

Sclerotinia stem rot (*Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*), also known as white-mold has become an alarming issue for many producers of canola, soybeans, sunflowers, lentils, etc. across western Canada. The disease has been shown to drastically reduce yields and quality of grain in severe cases. The diseases' presence in producers' fields is highly variable from year to year. Sclerotinia incidence and severity in a field is highly influenced by environmental conditions preceding and during the flowering period of the crop, as well as the thickness of the crop canopy. Typically producers use synthetic foliar fungicides to control the disease, unfortunately these fungicides do not control the disease past the year of application. UAP has launched a product into the marketplace called Contans WG™ Biofungicide. Contans' mode of action is a fungus (*coniothyrium minitans*) that impedes the germination of sclerotia bodies, in turn reducing the amount of inoculum released by apothecia. The objective of this experiment is to identify the most effective application method and timing of Contans WG™. Fall and spring applications timing that were either incorporated into the soil or not were compared in three crops: canola, soybeans and sunflowers. Disease ratings collected at two dates in late summer showed no statistical difference. Disease ratings collected at two dates in late summer showed no statistical difference between either the fall or spring application of Contans compared to the control. Similarly, grain yields did not differ between the Contans treatments and the control. Although the Scott Research and surrounding areas observed high levels of sclerotinia in canola fields in 2014, the same findings were not apparent in our trials. Our lack of sclerotinia infection could possibly be related to the strong standability of our canola varieties selected in this experiment, as well, the low plant densities of soybean and sunflower may have allowed for adequate air flow through the crop canopy; conditions that are not conducive of heavy sclerotinia infection.

Appendix A

Agronomic information specific to 2014 and 2015 demonstrations

| Table A.1. Selected agronomic information for Contans WG™ Biofungicide demonstration at Scott, Saskatchewan. | | |
|---|---|---|
| Seeding Information | Details for 2014 | Details for 2015 |
| Seeder | R-Tech Drill, 10 inch row spacing, knife openers | R-Tech Drill, 10 inch row spacing, knife openers |
| Seeding Date | 23-May-14 | 13-May-15 |
| Cultivar | Canola- L130 Soybean- P001T34R Sunflower | Canola- L130 Soybean- P001T34R Sunflower |
| Seeding Rate | Canola- 115 seeds/m ² Soybeans- 60 seeds/m ² Sunflowers- 5 seeds/m ² | Canola- 115 seeds/m ² Soybeans- 60 seeds/m ² Sunflowers- 5 seeds/m ² |
| Stubble Type | Canola (2013), Barley (2012) | Reseeded on same plots |
| Fertilizer applied for Soybeans | 25 lbs P ₂ O ₅ /ac as MAP 20 lbs S/ac as K ₂ SO ₄ 4.3 lbs TagTeam Granular/ac *All fertilizer was blended and applied in mid-row, and inoculant applied in the seed row | 48 lbs P ₂ O ₅ /ac as MAP 4.3 lbs TagTeam Granular/ac * MAP was side-banded and all Urea and AS was mid-rowed and inoculant applied in the seed row |
| Fertilizer applied for Canola and Sunflowers | 120 lbs N/ac as Urea, (balanced with MAP and AS in blend) 25 lbs P ₂ O ₅ /ac as MAP 20 lbs S/ac as K ₂ SO ₄ 15 lbs K ₂ O/ac as KCl *All fertilizer was blended and applied | 100 lbs N/ac as Urea, (AS in blend) 48 lbs P ₂ O ₅ /ac as MAP * MAP was side-banded and all |

| | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| | in mid-row at seeding | Urea and AS was mid-rowed |
| Contans Treatment Application | | |
| Fall Contans application | 4 kg/ha, 4 m boom sprayer, 110 L/ha (October 17, 2013) | Not Applied |
| Fall incorporation | Salford vertical tillage, 5 cm depth (October 17, 2013) | -- |
| | | |
| Spring Contans application | 4 kg/ha, 4 m boom sprayer, 110 L/ha (May 13, 2014) | 4 kg/ha, 4 m boom sprayer, 110 L/ha (May 8, 2014) |
| Spring incorporation | Salford vertical tillage, 5 cm depth (May 13, 2014) | Salford vertical tillage, 5 cm depth (May 8, 2014) |
| | | |
| Fungicide | Vertisan @ 700 ml/ac on sunflower, Vertisan @ 600ml/ac on canola, and Acapela @ 350ml/ac of on soybeans on July 14 th , 2014 | Vertisan @ 700 ml/ac on sunflower, Vertisan @ 600ml/ac on canola, and Acapela @ 350ml/ac of on soybeans on July 10 th , 2015 |
| Plot Maintenance Information | | |
| Pre-plant herbicide | Glyphosate (May 12, 2014), Glyphosate + Bromoxynil (May 21, 2014) | Glyphosate (May 4 th , 2014) |
| | | |
| | Canola- Liberty @ 1.35 L/ac (July 17, 2014) | Canola- Liberty @ 1.35 L/ac (June 15, 2015) |
| In-crop herbicide | Sunflower- Assert @ 0.34 L/ac (June 24, 2014) | Sunflower- Assert @ 0.34 L/ac (June 18, 2015) |
| | Soybean- R/T 540 @ 0.67/ac (June 26, 2014) | Soybean- R/T 540 @ 0.67/ac (June 15, 2015) |
| Data Collection | | |
| Disease Ratings | August 7 and 20, 2014 | June 18 th , June 26 th , June 30 th , July 9 th , and July 21 st , 2015 |
| | | |
| Desiccation | Canola (August 29, 2014), Reglone @ 1 L/ac | -- |
| | | |
| | Canola- September 17, 2014 | -- |
| Harvest Date | Soybeans- October 9, 2014 | -- |
| | Sunflowers- September 11, 2014 | -- |
| | | |
| Harvested Area | 15 m x 3.02 (double wide)= 45.3 m ² | -- |

Appendix B

Kutcher and Wolf (2006) rating scale for Sclerotinia stem rot

Table A.2. Kutcher and Wolf (2006) rating scale for Sclerotinia stem rot Sclerotinia stem rot severity assessment scale

| Rating | Weight | Location | Symptoms |
|--------|--------|----------|---|
| 5 | 1.00 | Lower | Main stem lesion with potential effects on seed formation and filling of entire plant |
| 4 | 0.75 | Upper | Lesion situated on main stem or stems of a number of branches with potential to affect up to 3/4 of seed formation and filling on the plant |
| 3 | 0.50 | Upper | Lesion situated on main stem or stems of a number of branches with potential to affect up to 1/2 of seed formation and filling on the plant |
| 2 | 0.25 | Upper | Lesion situated on main stem or stem of a branch (es) that has the potential to affect up to 1/4 of seed formation and filling on the plant |
| 1 | 0.10 | Pod | Infection of pods only |
| 0 | 0.00 | None | No symptoms |